Biology and Compositionality: Empirical Considerations for Emergent-Communication Protocols

TRAVIS LACROIX

LOGIC & PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE, UCI MILA – QUÉBEC AI INSTITUTE

Combinatorial Signals Compositional Language Simple Signals **Plausible Claims** Moving Forward • AI research can benefit from • Once a group of individuals has biological research (and vice-versa). learned some set of simple communication conventions, those learned behaviours may be used to • Communication is ubiquitous in influence future communicative nature, but language is unique to behaviours, giving rise to a humans.

Question

can simple communication How systems become more like systems of natural language?

Method

Since language is complicated, we abstract away many features of language to find key *explanatory targets*.

Sociological Fact

Most researchers take compositionality (compositional syntax, hierarchical syntax, openness, generativity, etc.) to be a key feature unique to language.

If gradualism is the correct approach to language origins, then compositionality is not a plausible explanatory target.



Fig. 1: Some instances of simple, combinatorial, and compositional communication in nature

feedback loop.

• Agents may learn to take advantage of previously evolved dispositions.

• Agents may learn to take advantage of pre-evolved *communicative* dispositions to influence the evolution of future communicative dispositions.

• This is a notion of *reflexivity*.

• Reflexivity, unlike compositionality, is consistent with a gradualist approach to language origins.

Conclusion

Insofar as reflexivity is an apt target for the biological evolution of linguistic communication, it may too provide some insights for modelling emergent communication in an artificial system.

Definitions

Gradualism: /'graj(əw)ə₋lizəm/ The view that language arose gradually, from simpler precursors, and is continuous with animal communication systems.

Compositionality: /'kompə'zıʃənælıtı/ A principle that holds that the meaning of a complex expression is a function of the meanings of its parts and how they are combined.

Problem

Compositional signals are rare, or nonexistent, in nature. (Fig. 1)

Where they do exist, they cannot be precursors to human language.



Additional Information

See the full paper and references here:



Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Jeff Barrett, Aydin Mohseni, Michael Noukhovitch, Aaron Courville, Yoshua Bengio, Mila - Québec AI Institute, and the organisers / participants at EmeComm19.



Consequence

It is a mistake to assume (from a gradualist perspective) that since compositionality provides a crucial difference between language and communication, research on language origins *must* centre on the evolution of compositional syntax.

Fig. 2: Phylogenetic tree of the primates



